No 30

## UNITED STATES

# CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE

## NINTH CIRCUIT.

October Term, 1891.

THE UNITED STATES of AMERICA,

Appellee.

VS.

THE STEAM TUG "PILOT," Her Engines, Boilers, Machinery, Tackle, Apparel, Furniture, Etc.,

Respondent.

JOAN OLIVE DUNSMUIR,

Appellant,

## BRIEF OF APPELLEE.

PATRICK H. WINSTON, U. S. Attorney, Counsel for Appellee.

LOWMAN & HANFORD STATIONERY & PRINTING CO., SEATTLE.

CHIER



## UNITED STATES

## CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE

### NINTH CIRCUIT.

OCTOBER TERM, 1891.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Appellee.

VS.

THE STEAM TUG "PILOT," Her Engines, Boilers, Machinery, Tackle, Apparel, Furniture, Etc.,

Respondent.

JOAN OLIVE DUNSMUIR,

Appellant.

BRIEF OF APPELLEE.

#### STATEMENT OF FACTS.

This is a case of seizure to enforce a penalty imposed by Section 4370, Rev. St., U. S.

The facts are as follows: On the 2nd day of May, 1891, the Valley Forge, a documented vessel of the United States, of 1286 tons burden, engaged in coastwise trade, being bound on a voyage from San Francisco, California to Port Angeles, Washington, entered the Strait of Juan de Fuca without assistance and was beating from one shore of said strait to the other against a head wind towards Port Angeles, her port of destination (See Record, pp. 39 and 40).

The Pilot, a British steam tug-boat, was "out seeking," and picked up the Valley Forge on the north side of the strait and within three miles of the shore of Vancouver Island, where she had sailed on her port tack, and towed her across the strait to Port Angeles. The Valley Forge remained at Port Angeles until her master went to the custom-house at Port Townsend and exchanged her certificate of enrollment for a register to entitle her to clear for a foreign port (See Record, pp. 36 and 37).

#### STATEMENT OF LAW.

I.

Section 4370 of the Revised Statutes reads as follows:

"Sec. 4370. All steam tug-boats not of the United States found employed in towing documented vessels of the United States plying from one port or place in the same to another, shall be liable to a penalty of fifty cents per ton on the measurement of every such vessel so towed by them respectively which sum may be recovered by way of libel or suit. This section shall not apply to any case where the towing in whole or in part is within or upon foreign waters."

The only question in this case is whether the towing of the "Valley Forge" by the Pilot was "in whole or in part within or upon foreign waters," within the meaning of Section 4370.

The mere fact that a documented vessel of the United States, plying from one port in the United States to another in making a passage of the Strait of Juan de Fuca, tacks across the international boundary line, will not legalize a towage service which would be a violation of law if performed wholly on the American side of the line.

Admitting that part of the strait north of the middle to be foreign waters it is respectfully submitted that the exception contained in Section 4370 applies only where the towing is necessarily within or upon foreign waters. Otherwise the statute can be evaded by a vessel crossing the boundary line for the sole purpose of bringing the towing within the exception of the statute.

#### II.

The term "foreign waters," as used in Section 4370, means waters wholly foreign—waters under the exclusive dominion of a foreign government for all purposes.

United States vs. The Pilot, Federal Reporter, Vol. 48, p. 319.

#### III.

The waters of the Strait of Juan de Fuca are not foreign waters to American vessels.

This strait is an arm of the sea wholly within the jurisdiction of the United States and Great Britain as part of the territory of the two countries, and the vessels of both coun-

tries by treaty stipulations are free to sail anywhere in the strait upon either side of the boundary line.

The Appollon, 9 Wheaton, 362.

United States vs. The Pilot, Federal Reporter, Vol. 48, p. 319.

Article 1, Treaty of Washington, June 15, A. D. 1846, reaffirmed by Protocol March 10, A. D. 1873.

And Vol. U. S., Povisod Statutes, 269. A Large 869

PATRICK H. WINSTON, U. S. Attorney, Counsel for Appellee. United States Circuit Court of Appeals, Ninth Circuit.

United States vs. The Steam Tug "Pilot," Etc.  $rac{}{}$  No. 30. Filed April 19th, 1892.

Appeal from the District Court of the United States, for the District of Washington, Northern Division.

Libel by the United States against the British tug Pilot for violation of Sec. 4370, Revised Statutes. Decree for Libelant for \$643 and costs. The owner appeals. Reversed.

Thos. R. Shepard, for Appellant,

P. H. Winston, for Appellee.

Foreign Waters—Towage by Foreign Tug Boats.—The treaty between the United States and Great Britain of June 15th, 1846, fixes the boundary between the two countries in the Straits of San Juan de Fuga by a line following the middle of the strait, but also secures to each nation a right of free navigation over all the waters of the strait, held, that all the waters north of the boundary line are "foreign waters" within the meaning of Rev. St., Sec. 4370, which excepts from the penalty therein imposed against foreign tug boats towing vessels of the United States, cases where the towing is in whole or in part within or upon foreign waters.

#### GILBERT, Circuit Judge:

On the second day of May, 1891, the British tug Pilot, spoke the American bark Valley Forge in the Straits of San Juan de Fuca at a point about ten miles from the entrance to the straits and three miles off Port Vancouver in the Province of British Columbia. The bark was an enrolled vessel engaged in coastwise trade and was proceeding on her voyage from San Francisco to Port Angeles. A contract was made between the captains of the two vessels by which it was agreed that the tug should tow the bark to Port Angeles, where the bark would exchange her certificate of enrollment for a register to entitle her to clear for a foreign port, and then should tow her to Departure Bay a British port, thence back through the straits to the sea.

After picking up the bark the tug towed her along the Vancouver shore, a distance of 38 or 40 miles and thence across the straits to Port Angeles. The greater part of the towing was upon waters north of the middle line of the channel which separates the State of Washington from Vancouver's Island. The bark lay at Port Angeles until the sixth day of May when the tug was libeled by the United States for violation of Sec. 4370 of the Revised Statutes.

That section contains the act of July 18, 1866, entitled "An act to prevent smuggling and for other purposes," and the amendment to the same by the Act of Feb. 25th, 1867.

It reads as follows;

"Sec. 4370: All steam tug boats not of the United States, found employed in towing documented vessels of the United States plying from one port or place in the same to another, shall be liable to a penalty of fifty cents per ton on the measurement of every such vessel so towed by them respectively which sum may be recovered by way of libel or suit. This section shall not apply to any case where the towing in whole or in part is within or upon foreign waters."

The question is presented whether the waters of the Straits of San Juan de Fuca lying north of the dividing line between the United States and British Columbia are foreign waters within the meaning of the statute.

By the treaty between the United States and Great Britain of June 15th, 1846, the boundary line between the possessions of the two nations is made to run through the middle of the straits. By the same treaty, however, it is stipulated that the entire strait shall be open and free to both countries for the purposes of navigation so that the vessels of each may sail anywhere upon either side of the line, and uner this provision it is contended that the waters north of the line cannot be considered foreign waters, but that all the waters of the strait are common to both nations.

We do not so construe the effect of the treaty. Notwithstanding the license of free navigation over the whole of the straits which is reserved to each of the contracting parties, a defininite line of division is adopted, which determines the limit of jurisdiction of each nation. All waters north of the line are British waters subject to the control and dominion of Great Britain. All waters south of the line are American waters and are under the jurisdiction of the United States. The privilege of free navigation exercised by each nation of

the waters of the other is in the nature of an easement which in no way affects the question of the jurisdiction.

The decree of the District Court which is appealed from is itself a declaration of the doctrine of the exclusive jnrisdiction of each nation over its own half of the waters of the strait, otherwise it is not perceived that a British tug could, for an act committed upon the American side of the line, be made subject to a penalty imposed by the laws of the United States.

The word foreign means belonging to another nation or country, belonging to or subject to another jurisdiction. The waters of the strait north of the boundary line belong to and are subject to the jurisdiction of Great Britain and hence are foreign waters.

The United States, although having a right of free navigation, has no jurisdiction over them except so far as regards its own citizens.

The case of The Appollon, 9 Wheat. 362, is relied upon by the appellee as supporting the doctrine that no part of the waters of the strait can be considered foreign to either British or American vessels. The question which arose in that case was whether a French vessel which had entered and anchored in the St. Mary's river and then proceeded out to sea and to a Spanish port had entered American waters so as to be required to make entry at the custom house of that district under Section 29 of the Collections Act of 1799. The St. Mary's River being the boundary between the United States and the Spanish possessions, upon the general principles of the law of nations, its waters were common to both nations for the purposes of navigation. The Court, without deciding whether any of the waters of the river were American waters, held that the true exposition of the 29th Section was that, it meant to compel an entry at the custom house of all vessels coming into our waters being bound to our ports, and that the Appollon had not entered American waters within the meaning of that Statute.

It is proper to note that the evident object of the amendment contained in Sec. 4370 of the Statute is in harmony with the construction which we have adopted. The law as originally enacted did not embody the exception in regard to towage in whole or in part upon foreign waters. Upon the petion of "owners of tugs and vessels on the lakes and rivers of the northern frontier," the amendment of Feb. 25, 1867, was made. Its purpose was to avoid the difficulty and inconvenience which attended the application of the statute upon the lakes of the northern frontier, where, as in the Straits of San Juan

de Fuca, the boundary line is a fixed line, but in practical navigation its position upon the waters would always be difficult to locate with certainty.

The decree is reversed and the case is remanded with instructions to dismiss the libel and to enter a decree for claimant.